

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,821	01/17/2002		Hiroki Takaoka	725.1152	5336
21171	7590	06/03/2004		EXAMINER	
STAAS & SUITE 700	HALSEY	/ LLP	JAKETIC, BRYAN J		
	1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				3627	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summers	10/046,821	TAKAOKA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
TI MANUA DA TE A CUI	Bryan Jaketic	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address °	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Section 17 Section 18 FINAL.</li> <li>2a) □ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☑ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 19 Section 19 Sect</li></ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the orect Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Di 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/046,821

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 8, 15, 16, and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Landom et al. Landom et al teach an estimated price providing apparatus comprising a storage device (16) in which estimated prices that a dealer can offer are registered in advance for respective predetermined choices in association with article specifications that a manufacturer can supply (see col. 2, line 65 through col. 3, line 30); a customize function for allowing a user of an information terminal to select an article of desired specifications (see col. 3, line 44 through col. 4, line 36), wherein the information terminal is connected via a communication line (see Fig. 1); and an estimated price providing function for providing a calculated estimated price per customized article to the information terminal by referring to the estimated prices for respective choices registered in the storage device (see col. 3, line 44 through col. 4, line 36). Landom et al also teach the step of displaying all estimated prices per article, which were previously provided (see Figures 10 and 11). Landom et al also teach that

Application/Control Number: 10/046,821 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

the storage function, customize function, and estimated price providing function are managed by the manufacturer (see, for example, col. 12, line 23 through col. 13, line 22).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landom et al. Landom teaches all of the limitations of the claim except for the use of an email message in response to the user's request. However, it is common in the art to send quotes in an email message and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of emailing a quote to a

Application/Control Number: 10/046,821

Art Unit: 3627

customer so that the customer can save the quote in his email inbox to refer to at a later time.

Claims 3-7, 9-14, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 6. unpatentable over Hanzek in view of Landom et al. Hanzek teaches an estimated price providing apparatus comprising individual storage areas corresponding to a plurality of dealers (616 - see Fig. 7A); a dealer select function (see col. 13, lines 11-19); and an estimated price providing function providing an estimated price per article by referring to the storage area (see col. 31, line 31 through col. 32, line 19). Hanzek does not teach that estimated prices are registered in advance for respective predetermined choices, nor does Hanzek teach that an estimated price is calculated by referring to the estimated prices for respective choice in the storage device. Landom et al teach an estimated price providing apparatus comprising a storage device (16) in which estimated prices that a dealer can offer are registered in advance for respective predetermined choices in association with article specifications that a manufacturer can supply (see col. 2, line 65 through col. 3, line 30); a customize function for allowing a user of an information terminal to select an article of desired specifications (see col. 3, line 44 through col. 4, line 36), wherein the information terminal is connected via a communication line (see Fig. 1); and an estimated price providing function for providing a calculated estimated price per customized article to the information terminal by referring to the estimated prices for respective choices registered in the storage device (see col. 3, line 44 through col. 4, line 36). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the teachings of

Art Unit: 3627

Landom et al with the invention of Hanzek to provide accurate quotes quickly for customized goods.

Hanzek additionally teaches that the storage device is managed by a third party different from the plurality of dealers (see col. 10, line 64 through col. 11, line 25), wherein the dealers may update the storage contents (see col. 8, lines 6-44). Hanzek also teaches the step of informing the user of the manufacturer's suggested retail price (see col. 18, lines 31-57). Hanzek also teaches the use of an email message in response to the user's request (see col. 12, lines 31-38). Hanzek teaches that the article is an automobile.

Hanzek does not teach the step of providing an estimate on a trade-in article.

However, it is common in the art to provide estimates on trade-in articles, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the combination of Hanzek and Landom et al to provide an estimate on trade-in articles to allow customers to determine the final cost of the article.

Hanzek does not teach the step of denying access to the storage area contents of the storage area by another dealer which does not correspond to the storage area. However, it is common in the art to restrict access to databases, and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the step of denying access to the storage area contents of the storage area by another dealer which does not correspond to the storage area to ensure the accuracy of the information.

Art Unit: 3627

### Conclusion

Page 6

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cansler et al, Goldberg et al, Green et al, and Cornwell teach systems for providing estimates.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Jaketic whose telephone number is (703) 308-0134. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (9:00-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703)308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bi

S/29/04